ō
4
U
0
~
<u></u>
3
Щ
_

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP 201 East Washington Street, Suite 1200													
2	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2595 Telephone: 602.262.5311													
3	<b>Ryan D. Pont</b> (State Bar No. 033391) Direct Dial: 602.262.5313													
4	Direct Fax: 602.734.3769 Email: rpont@lewisroca.com													
5	Kyle W. Kellar ( <i>Pro hac vice</i> ) Direct Dial: 626.683.4590 Direct Fax: 626.577.8800													
6	Email: kkellar@lewisroca.com													
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff													
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT													
	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA													
9	Wavve Americas, Inc., a Delaware	Case No. 2:23-cv-01819-MTL												
10	corporation,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR												
11	Plaintiff,	LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS C-H TO DECLARATION												
12	VS.	OF KYLE W. KELLAR												
13	Tumi Max, an individual,													
14	Defendant.													
15														
16	In accordance with L.R. Civ. 5.6,	Plaintiff wavve Americas, Inc. ("wA" o												
17	"Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned cour	nsel, respectfully requests that this Court ente												
18	an Order in the form attached hereto to authorize Plaintiff to file under seal unredacte													
19	Exhibits C-H to the Declaration of Kyle W	. Kellar in Support of Plaintiff's Motion fo												
20	Entry of Default Judgment Against Defenda	ant Tumi Max (the "Exhibits"). Each of the												

or nter eted for the Exhibits is either a copy of the relevant portions of the original Korean-language supplier agreement between Plaintiff and one of KBS, MBC, and SBS or is an English-language translation thereof. Plaintiff has filed redacted versions of the Exhibits on this same date.

Although the Ninth Circuit recognizes a strong presumption favoring public access to court records, this "right of access, however, is not absolute and can be overridden given sufficiently compelling reasons for doing so." Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003). While the moving party must meet the "compelling reasons" standard, "[w]hat constitutes a compelling reason is best left to the sound

122834199.1

discret	ion	of the	trial	cou	rt."	Auto	Safety v	. Chrysler (	Grp., L	LC,	809 F	.3d 10	192, 1	1096–97
(9th C	ir.	2016)	(citir	ng /	Vixor	ı v.	Warner	Commc'ns,	Inc.,	435	U.S.	589,	599	(1978)
(intern	atio	nal qu	otatio	n m	narks	omi	tted).							

The Exhibits submitted herewith are contracts entered into between Plaintiff and one of third-party KBS, MBC, and SBS and corroborate Plaintiff's uncontested and undisputed claim of exclusive rights to the United States distribution of certain copyrighted Works owned by KBS, MBC, or SBS. Plaintiff, in entering into these agreements, agreed to a confidentiality provision as to agreement itself and which only permits Plaintiff to publicly disclose contract details necessary to allow Plaintiff to enforce its rights under the agreement, which Plaintiff is doing here. The redactions do not obscure the basis for including the Exhibits and do not require filing of the related Motion under seal. Rather, these redactions are narrowly tailored to exclude confidential business information existing between Plaintiff and its suppliers not directly related to Plaintiff's claims in this litigation.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff's Motion to Seal and allow Plaintiff to file unredacted versions of the Exhibits under seal.

Dated: November 8, 2023

By: /s/ Ryan D. Pont Kyle W. Kellar

Kyle W. Kellar Ryan D. Pont

CHRISTIE LLP

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER

Attorneys for Plaintiff wavve Americas, Inc.

\_\_

122834199.1